

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**APPLICANT: SCOTT M. WILLIAMS**

**ART UNIT: 3644**

**SERIAL NO.: 10/729,490**

**EXAMINER: TIEN Q. DINH**

**FILED: DECEMBER 5, 2003**

**CONFIRMATION NO.: 3137**

**TITLE: PHOTSENSITIVE COCKPIT WINDSHIELD**

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant hereby appeals to the Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences from the final rejection, dated March 10, 2006 (Petition for Three-Month Extension of Time, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a), and requisite extension fee of \$510.00 (small entity) being concurrently remitted via EFT), by the Examiner of all pending claims (*i.e.*, Claims 1-4 and 13-18) on all grounds for final rejection, namely:

A. Claims 13-18 have been rejected, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement (*i.e.*, Claims 13-18 contain subject matter which has not been described in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention recited in the enumerated claims of the rejection.);

B. Claims 1-4 and 13-18 have rejected as being obvious, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103(a), over Dockery; and,

C. Claims 1-4 and 13-18 has been rejected as being obvious, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103(a), over Wolf *et al.*, taken in view of Dockery.

Claims 5-12 were previously cancelled.

The requisite fee of \$250.00 (small entity) for filing the Notice of Appeal, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.17(e), is being concurrently remitted via EFT.

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT M. WILLIAMS

By   
Edwin D. Schindler  
*Attorney for Applicant*  
Reg. No. 31,459

Michael I. Kroll  
171 Stillwell Lane  
Syosset, New York 11791

(516)367-7777

September 11, 2006